

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Alliest COMMISSIONS ROLF FAILUSE AND TRADEMAKES 200 Fee 1450 Alexadra, Viguna 22313-1450 www.uspco.ucx

PPI ICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO
09 902,502	07 09 2001	Kenneth A. Goldberg	LBL-CIB-1572	5914
7:	90 05 30 2003			
John P. O'Banion O'BANION & RITCHEY LLP Suite 1550 400 Capitol Mall Sacramento, CA 95814			EXAMINER	
			LABAZE, EDWYN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2876	
		DATE MAILED: 05/30/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/902,502	GOLDBERG, KENNETH A			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		EDWYN LABAZE	2876			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replayer of the provision of the	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr e. cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely om the mailing date of this communication NED (35 U.S.C.§ 133)			
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	December 2002 .				
2a)□		his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims					
•	Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) · Claım(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	?) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
, —	The specification is objected to by the Examin					
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 July 2001</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* ;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
ada micro	1					
	our fleutoper (u.g. 156). Pilonova de lif Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review. PTC-945 mation Disclosure Statement,svi PTC-1449/ Paper 170 s.		mary (K. 1904) to Hatter No. 8 nai Patent Application (K. 1914)			
3 🗍 infer	mation Disciosure Statement, sil PTO-1449, Paper No. s.	€ [_] Other				

et. A to the second

Application/Control Number: 09/902,502

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Receipt is acknowledged of amendments filed on 12/18/2002.
- 2. Claims 1-36 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art arc such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bokor et al. (U.S. 6,555,828) in view of Tomii et al. (U.S. 5,940,173)

Re claims 1, 7, 13, 18, 23, 29, and 35: Bokor et al. discloses method of apparatus for inspecting reflection masks for defects, which includes an extreme ultraviolet lithography or EUV light source (col.2, lines 55-67), wherein the EUV is a synchrotron (col.9, lines 17+), and a detector 20 (col.3, lines 2+), means for directing the EUV light to the mask (col.2, lines 5+ and col.8, lines 66+), further comprising an EUV light source positioned to direct a beam light (col.9, lines 23+) and analyzing the recorded reflections to determine the presence of defects (col.8, lines 65-67 and col.9, lines 1+). The examiner is broadly interpreted a mask blank as a piece of glass without a color filter used to reflect a light source.

Bokor et al. fails to teach a CCD camera.

Tomii et al. discloses method and apparatus for inspecting the quality of transparent

Art Unit: 2876

an area of a mask blank using reflections of light from a light source impinging on the mask blank (col.11, lines 28+).

In view of the teachings of Tomii et al., it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ an a CCD camera into the teachings of Bokor et al. in order to record the reflected EUV from the mask blank. Furthermore, the use of the CCD camera would have been an improvement of the teaching of Bokor et al. by comparing pixel data related to the EUV image either to data corresponding of other portions of the image or to stored data from previous image. Moreover, such modification would have been an obvious extension as taught by Bokor et al., therefore an expedient.

Re claims 2, 8, 14, 19, 24, 30, and 36: Bokor et al. discloses and apparatus and method, wherein the EUV comprising a synchrotron (col.9, lines 17+).

Re claims 3, 9, 25, and 31: Bokor et al. teaches an apparatus and method, wherein means for imaging comprises a EUV detector 20 (col.3, lines 2+).

Re claims 4, 10, 15, 20, 26, 32, and 37: Bokor et al. discloses an apparatus and method, wherein the detector comprises a CCD camera array 4 (col.11, lines 28+).

Re claims 5, 11, 16, 21, 27, 33, and 38: Bokor et al. discloses and apparatus and method, wherein the EUV comprising a micro-channel plate (col.4, lines 32-62).

Re claims 6, 12, 17, 23, 28, 34, and 39: Bokor et al. teaches and apparatus and method, further comprising a pinhole aperture 46 positioned between the EUV source and the mask blank 10 (See Fig. # 1 of Bokor et al., and col.6, lines 25+).

Application/Control Number: 09/902,502

Art Unit: 2876

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-39 filed on 12/18/2002 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Shafer et al. (U.S. 6,133,576) discloses broad-spectrum ultraviolet methods employing catadioptric imaging.

Felter et al. (U.S. 6007,963) teaches method for extreme ultraviolet lithography.

Ruffner (U.S. 5,911,858) discloses method for high-precision multi-layered thin film deposition for deep extreme ultraviolet mirrors.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EDWYN LABAZE whose telephone number is (703) 305-5437. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (703) 305-3503. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

el Edwyn Labaze

RAPIL O FRECH